IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO. 5543 OF 2004

W. T. Balaji ...Petitioner
versus
The State Bank of Hyderabad & ors ..... Respondent.

Office Notes, Office Memorada) Orders or directions and) Registrar's orders.)	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri V.M.Talreja for the petitioner.
Mr. Satish Shetty i/b. Little & Co. for the respondent.

CORAM; V.G. PALSHIKAR &
D.B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DTAED; 6TH SEPT., 2005.

P.C.

1. By this petition, the petitioner impugned the order of DRAT rejecting the application of the petitioner for declaring him as indigent person unable to pay court fees.

2. We have perused the impugned order. From the facts stated therein, it is obvious that the petitioner cannot by any stretch of imagination claimed to be indigent person. There is

therefore no error either on fact or law in the order passed by DRAT. The petition is therefore dismissed.

*****